Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,888	BENTZIEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Anthony P. Bottino {Reg. No. 41,629}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>1:55pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10-14 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to cancel methods of use claims (claims 10-14) since they raised a question of patentability under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
To Port MA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature - if appropriate)